



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,824	10/01/2001	Chien Liang Chang	BHT-3203-2	3992
7590 11/08/2005		EXAMINER		
Bruce H. Troxell			YENKE, BRIAN P	
DOUGHERTY	& TROXELL			
Suite 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5205 Leesburg Pike			2614	
Falls Church, VA 22041			DATE MAIL ED: 11/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Asticus Occurrence	09/965,824	CHANG, CHIEN LIANG				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	BRIAN P. YENKE	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	 hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
	_					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	1.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 October 2001 is/are:	a) accepted or b) dobjected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1A/B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang, US 20020057378.

In considering claims 1-2,

Yang discloses a method/system for selecting signals in a Television receiver where external devices may be connected to external jacks (J11-13, Fig 3) where signal processing 14 and microcomputer 11 determine whether an external signal is present based upon the user

selecting an A/V mode. As disclosed by Yang, the system automatically senses sequentially which jack(s) is/are receiving a signal and output the user requested mode, and if none of the external jacks are detected, the system will output a message onto the screen (page 2-3, para 44) and the system will then return to a TV mode in order to receive broadcasting signals (abstract).

Page 3

However, Yang does not explicitly recite the conventionally claimed items, such as A/D integrated circuit, the RF modulator, RF switch, ANT/CATV RF switch nor the LED.

It is noted by the examiner that such items are notoriously well known in the art as disclosed by applicant the use of modulation/switching (including ANT/CTV RF switch) in transmitting an external signal to a display is known, however as stated by applicant this interferes with the adjacent TV channel (i.e. since typically VCR's are transmitted on channel 3 or 4). Yang remedies the same problem by only switching to the TV when no external devices are present, thus accomplishing the same results as applicant's invention.

Regarding the use of an LED, since the use of such is conventional in the art in order to show the user what channel the TV is on or to show the state of a switching circuit (US 5,600,382), the examiner will take OFFICIAL NOTICE regarding such a device.

Regarding the use of multiple A/D converters on a integrated circuit, the examiner maintains the use of analog and digital signals is notoriously well known, and thus the implementation of an A/D or D/A converters is well known in order to receive/process/display the signals in a manner that is compatible with the system, and the mere integration of such components on a circuit, is also conventional, since the integration provides the user/designer the same functionality yet using less space/circuitry. Thus the examiner takes OFFICIAL NOTICE regarding such implementation of an integrated circuit.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Yang which discloses the selection of an external device and the deselection/selection of a TV mode based upon the results, by utilizing the conventional RF modulator, switch (RF, ANT/CATV), LED and integrated A/D converters, to provide the user with a system that is able to switch between a TV and external mode, where the user can visibly ascertain the status of the device via an LED and by utilizing an integrated component which would provide the advantages as noted above.

In the event the applicant intends to traverse the examiner's OFFICIAL NOTICES as taken above, the examiner requests the applicant to first thoroughly review the cited art of record.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure—see newly cited references on attached form PTO-892.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (571)272-7352.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/965,824

Art Unit: 2614

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

Page 5

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and

Art Unit: 2614

applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS).

PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

 $\mathbf{B}.\mathbf{P}.\mathbf{Y}$

01 November 2005